

Modeling Industrial Park: Strategic Decisions for Implementation.

Atoyebi, Adeyinka Abiodun

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Osun State Polytechnic, Iree, Nigeria

Akinnuli, Basil Olufemi

Department of Mechanical/Industrial Engineering, Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria

Kareem, Biliaminu

Department of Mechanical/Industrial Engineering, Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria

Oluwadare, Samuel Adebayo

Department of Computer Science, Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria

Abstract: This research developed a system model that enables allocation of land space to every proposed industry, that is, the allocation that consistent with the constraints, will produce the highest annual revenue to the investor through land leasing and taxes. Strategic decisions which are Annual Revenue from Labour Force spending pattern; Annual Income from utility and service charges; Annual Revenue from tax on Industrial Production and Annual Income from Plant Building Area to be leased for industries were formulated as the objective function. The objective function was optimized under Industrial Park constraints which are Capital Investment, Labour Force, Utility and service levels, Available acreage, Maximum and Minimum Plant Building Area. Data were collected from the five relevant industries to enable the formulation of Linear Programming (LP) model with tractable objective function and the critical twenty-three constraints. The formulated LP model equations was solved through simplex method. From the results of the analysis, the Maximum Annual Income for the five (5) proposed industries in the park was estimated as ₦ 14,417,340,953:82 with varied optimum Plant Building Areas. Labour Utilization, Utility and Service Levels were within limits. Since the optimized Investment Cost is ₦283,940,000:00, hence the result revealed that there is a good savings of ₦316,060,000:00 (52.67%) from the entire ₦600,000,000:00 budgeted as investment cost for plant buildings. The balance was proposed for procuring infrastructural facilities. From all the results, it is crystal clear that the statistical evaluations showed the model developed can minimize constraints to maximize profit for all the industries.

Keywords: Annual Income, Industrial Park, Strategic decisions, Tax,

1. INTRODUCTION

This research established a strategic decisions approach for implementation of an Industrial Park (IP) which optimally indicated the maximum annual income of the entire industrial park and optimally allocated suitable acreage for each proposed company between the maximum and minimum building area required, ditto to others notable factored constraints during the linear optimization.

The effective instruments to promote industrialization and structural transformation, governments in different countries have been resorting to Special Economic Zones (SEZs) or Industrial Parks as one tool to advance the desired industrialization. However more than five decades long of modern SEZs or Industrial Parks experiences have shown mixed results of success and failures [1].

Corresponding Author

- Atoyebi A. A., Department of Mechanical Engineering, Osun State Polytechnic, Iree, Nigeria, Tel: +2348038262055, E-mail: yinkato2003@gmail.com

The very concept behind the development of these Industrial Parks is to achieve four policy objectives, as stated by FIAS (2008) which are attracting foreign direct investment; serving as “pressure valves” to alleviate large-scale unemployment; supporting a wider economic reform strategy and serving as experimental laboratories for the application of new policies and approaches.

In general, Industrial Parks are said to confer “static” and “dynamic” economic benefits.

Zeng (2015) represented static economic benefits as employment generation, export growth, government revenues, and foreign exchange earnings while dynamic economic benefits were presented as skills upgrading, technology transfer and innovation, economic diversification, productivity enhancement of local firms among others.

Industrial Park development is of interest not only to researchers, but also to policymakers. The different

approaches being implemented include studies conducted to analyze the impact of industrial park development on socio-economic development of countries [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]. The use of primary and secondary data, and input-output resources method to examine the impact of industrial park development on socio-economy is very germane.

In the context of an under-developed, developing, and developed economy, industrial parks can be a solution for increasing the local competitiveness through what it provides – institutional framework, modern services in administration, financial consultancy, training, technical guidance, information services, shared facilities, research and own infrastructure designed to meet the needs of companies they gather by providing them with modern services for the business deployment and development [10].

Some researchers have disregarded the roles of governments and public authorities in enhancing or inhibiting industrial ecosystem development. In other words, industrial ecology research recognizes public actors i.e governments, as serving meaningful functions in EIPs without considering the practical manifestations of these roles in a detailed and structured manner. Previous studies concentrated on the public actor role as “a function or part performed especially in a particular operation or process,” whereas the current researches treated the term as referring to “a character assigned or assumed” [11]. Public actors significantly contribute to facilitating the development of regional industrial ecosystems such as EIPs. Most EIPs are developed as part of local economic development strategies, and the level of public involvement in this development can be very high. [12].

The dispersed industries over time have been constituting harms to the environment. For an Investor that wishes to increase her revenue or income through developing an acreage tract of land for an industrial park and limiting harm, there must strategic decisions to be adopted for actualization of an IP to maximize profits through constraints minimizations.

2. METHODOLOGY

The Industrial Park Development using Linear Programming.

Engineering economy analysis must be calculated out to ascertain the economics feasibility of the proposed project, Industrial Park. This evaluation has to be carried out before and after-tax situations where tax is being given careful considerations.

2.1 Strategic Decisions for Model Development for an Industrial Park

According to Ammar Aries and Tahri Mohammed, (2019) who stated the important gains of the establishment of Industrial Parks on long-term economic development of any nation, some of the gains such as creating employment, generating income and increasing per capital income and lots more have significant effects on the economy in all developing countries. Through these

gains, some strategics were discovered to generate the objective function.

The strategic decisions used to formulate the objective function and the constraints of this research are:

- (a) Revenue from labour force spending habits
- (b) Income from utility and other service charges.
- (c) Revenue from tax on industrial production
- (d) Income from plant building leases minus maintenance costs.

Furthermore, there are six constraints which include

- (i) **Capital Investment:** This is the capital money of any proposed investor of Industrial Park. The maximum amount available to finance the entire Industrial Park.
- (ii) **Working Area:** This is the working area which all calculations will be narrowed down to 92.90 sq. m. of plant building area for uniformity and equality of constraints during mathematical model development and linear programming using simplex method to optimize.
- (iii) **Labour Force:** There are six categories of Labour Force in any developing Country.
 - (i) Unskilled
 - (ii) Semiskilled
 - (iii) Skilled
 - (iv) Clerical
 - (v) Technical
 - (vi) Managerial
- (iv) **Utility and Services Levels:** The major categories of utility and service levels are considered, namely:
 - (i) Electricity
 - (ii) Water
 - (iii) Gas
 - (iv) Sewerage
 - (v) Waste
- (v) **Available Acreage:** This is the total dimensioned acres of land in question for the proposed Industrial Park.
- (vi) **Plant Building Areas:** Plant Building areas are absolutely a calculated area meant for industrial building and other industrial activities within owned area. It can be viewed as
 - (i) Maximum Areas and
 - (ii) Minimum Areas

2.2 Model Formulation

(a) Revenue from Labour force spending habits

Labour Force: Labour Force in Industrial Park can be categorized into six categories: Unskilled Labour, Semiskilled Labor, Skilled Labour, Clerical Workers, Technical Personnel and Managers where **Maximum Numbers** and **Annual Average Income** (in currency) of each category must be known. Furthermore, to get Labour force spending habit, the percentage of average of State and Federal Taxes of Gross Income should be

known to get disposable **Net Income Annually**. Similarly, Average percentage of Net income spent in the city area which will result to **cash flow into the city area**.

From taxes on sales and property from utilities, fuel, sanitation and so on, will average to 7.5% of the flow of disposable personal income (**cash flow into the city area**).

(b) Capacity from utility and other service charges

The utilities, sewerages and waste disposal systems must be known, such as : Electricity, in kW. hrs./year; Water, in million cu. m./year; Gas, in cu. m./year; Sewerage in million cu. m./year and Waste Disposal, in Megagram (Mg)/year

And the unit profits (currency) from the sale of utilities and other services to industrial customers must be known as: Electricity, ₦ /kW. hr; Water, ₦ /millions cu. m.; Gas, ₦ /cu. m.; Sewerage, ₦ /million cu. m. and Waste Disposal, ₦ /Megagram (Mg).

(c) Revenue from tax on industrial production

- (i) The government tax on the gross annual production value of each industry should be known.
- (ii) The value cost of the site in the location selected for Industrial Park should be known as well.
- (iii) The average building construction costs for the various plants according to the number of Industries agreed to be in the Industrial Park.

(d) Income from plant building leases minus maintenance costs.

- (i) The amount investor agreed to lease the building facilities (including grounds) at an annual cost to the industries should be known in sq. m. of the building area.
- (ii) Maintenance cost should also be known per sq. m. of the building area per year

2.3 Mathematical Model Formulation

2.3.1 The Objective Function

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [\sum_{j=1}^6 r_j L_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^5 C_k U_{ik} + 0.075 P_i + 150000] x_i \quad (1)$$

**2.3.2 The Constraints
Capital Investment**

$$92.90 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i \leq Z \quad (2)$$

where Z is the Maximum Amount for Financing Industrial Park minus (-) Cost for acquiring the entire allocated land for Industrial Park

Labour Force

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 L_{ij} x_i \leq E_j; j = 1, \dots, 6 \quad (3)$$

Utility and Service Levels

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 U_{ik} x_i \leq U_k; k = 1, \dots, 5 \quad (4)$$

Available Acreage

$$\sum_{i=1}^n s_i x_i \leq T_{AL} \quad (5)$$

T_{AL} is the total area of the land in acres or sq.m

Plant Building Areas

$$a_i \leq x_i \leq A_i; i = 1, \dots, n \quad (6)$$

2.4 Nomenclature

Symbol	Meaning
a_i	is the proposed minimum acreage of industry i
A_i	is the proposed maximum acreage of industry i
b_i	is the average building construction cost per 92.90 sq. m.
$C_k, k = 1, \dots, 5$	is the unit profits from electricity, water, gas, sewerage, and waste disposal services
$E_j, j = 1, \dots, 6$	is the maximum available number of type j workers
IP	is the Industrial Park
$j = 1, \dots, 6$	is indices representing Labour force in the following categories
$k = 1, \dots, 5$	is indices representing electricity, water, gas, sewerage, and waste disposal services;
$L_{ij}, i = 1, \dots, n$ and $j = 1, \dots, 6$	is the number of workmen employed by industry i of type j per 92.90 sq. m. of plant building area;
$P_i, i = 1, \dots, nth$,	is the annual production for industry i in naira (dollars) per 92.90 sq. m. of plant building rea.

$r_j, j = 1, \dots, 6$ is the government revenue on the labour force local spending habits

S_i is the existing site acreage of the company.

TA_L is Total Available Land in acreage

u is the Annual net income per labour type

$U_{ik}, i = 1, \dots, n, k = 1, \dots, 5$ is the requirements of industry i for electricity, water, gas, sewerage, and waste disposal services

v is the cash flow into the city area per labour type

w is the Annual average city revenue per labour type

$x_i, i = 1, \dots, nth$ is the plant building areas, in 92.90 sq. m. to be leased to industries 1 through nth industries respectively.

Z is the maximum amount for Financing IP minus (-) Cost for acquiring the entire allocated land for IP

2.5 Case Study and collected Data for the Strategic Decisions

General Requirements for the Industrial Park Development

- a. Working Area: The working Area which all calculations will be narrowed down to is 92.90 sq. m.
- b. Capital to Invest: The total proposed amount to finance the development of Industrial Park is ₦600,000,000:00
- c. Price Per Acre: The actual price of land per acre according a certified evaluation officer.

The price per acre is ₦200,000:00

- d. Number of Acres: The existing tract of acres available for the Industrial Park.

The total acres of land are 300.02

- e. Capacity for Utilities, Sewerage and Waste Disposal System: This is the entire capacity available for utilization in the Industrial Park plus the neighboring communities.

Electricity is 12,500,000 kW.hrs./yr

Water is 75 million cu.m./yr

Gas is 40,000,000 cu.m./yr

Sewerage is 30 million cu.m./yr

Waste Disposal 15,000 Mg/yr

Data are for company 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5 respectively to validate the models formulated through strategic decisions.

Table 1: Site and Services Requirements in Unit per 92.90 sq. m. of Plant Building Area for company 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5 respectively per cell of the table.

Site Acre	Electricity (Kw. hrs/yr)	Water (million cu. m./yr)	Gas (cu. m./yr)	Sewerage (million cu. m./yr)	Waste Disposal (Mg./yr)
-----------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------------------	----------------------------

Unit Profit on Utilities, Sewerage and Waste Disposal System

Electricity is ₦62.66 per kW.hrs

Water is ₦200:00 per cu.m.

Gas is ₦468.68 per cu.m.

Sewerage is ₦90.12 per cu.m.

Waste Disposal is ₦ 20.00 per g

- f. Annual Lease cost of building area per sq. m. is ₦ 170:00
- g. Annual maintenance cost of building area per sq. m. is ₦ 20:00

- h. Income Tax in Nigeria as a case study:
Income tax is imposed on employees in Nigeria, and includes any salaries, wages, gains or profits - including bonuses, premiums and benefits - derived from employment. Income tax is processed using the PAYE system and reported each month to the State Internal Revenue Service (SIRS). All Nigerian tax 'residents' are liable for income tax - that is, employees with duties of employment performed wholly or partly in Nigeria for up to six months per year. Nigerian tax residents are taxed on all income sourced from Nigeria, and all worldwide income.

Tax rates in Nigeria are graduated across income bands, ranging from 7-24%. Income thresholds are as follows:

Up to 300,000 naira: 7%

Up to 600,000 naira: 11%

Up to 1,110,000 naira: 15%

Up to 1,600,000 naira: 19%

Up to 3,200,000 naira: 21%

Over 3,200,000 naira: 24%

Value Added Tax (VAT)

VAT is charged at a rate of 7.5%

Some goods and services such as non-oil exports are zero rated

- i. Tax on utility, fuel, sanitation etc is 7.5%

Five Selected Companies were picked to run the strategic decisions and they are as follows:

1. Electrical Machinery Company, Osogbo, Osun State
2. Cocoa Processing Company, Ede, Osun State
3. Steel Mills Limited, Osogbo, Osun State
4. Plastics Pipe Industry, Osun State
5. Wire Industry Limited, Osun State

Available data collected from the 5 companies listed in the respective order.

5	1	11	14	12	4130	3200	5207	4400	5700	0.	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	410	70	980	730	130	0.0	0.	0.	0.	0.	1	2	4	3	2.
0	0	.5	.3	.1	0.53	1.17	6.11	1.26	9.33	50	00	30	200	10	00	00	00	00	00	04	04	02	02	01	8	7	4	3	4

Table 2: Labour Force Requirement in Men/Day (8hrs shift) per 92.90sq. m. of plant building area for company 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5 respectively per cell of the table.

Unskilled Labour					Semi Skilled Labour					Skilled Labour					Clerical Worker					Technical Personnel					Managerial				
0.7	9.	13.	4.	14.	0.2	13.	4.	6.	3.	0.3	3.	4.	3.	1.	0.2	6.	5.	7.	1.	0.1	3.	2.	3.	6.	0.0	1.	4.	0.	1.
5	0	0	3	7	2	5	6	4	4	8	0	6	2	7	4	0	8	5	7	5	0	3	2	9	5	5	5	7	1

Average Building Construction Cost per 92.90 sq. m. is ₦7800:00; ₦8840:00; ₦9880:00; ₦11440:00; ₦8320:00;

Annual Industrial Production of Plant Building Area per 92.90 sq. m. is ₦2,600,000,000:00; ₦3,640,000,000:00; ₦6,240,000,000:00; ₦7,840,000,000:00; ₦5,200,000,000:00;

Table 3: Plant Building Area Requirements Per 92.90 sq. m. for company 1, 2, 3, 4, & 5 respectively per cell of the table.

Maximum Area (sq. m.) x1000					Minimum Building Area (sq. m.) x1000				
11.15	7.43	6.50	9.29	13.83	3.72	1.85	2.41	2.60	3.25

3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Labour Force Spending Pattern: To get Annual Net Income, Cash Flow into the City and Average Annual Revenue Per Worker must be determined.

- (i) Annual Net Income (**u**) = Average Income – (State & Federal Taxes x Average Income)
- (ii) To get Cash Flow into City Area (**v**)
Cash Flow into City Area = Average % Spent on City x Annual Net Income
- (iii) To get Annual Average City Revenue per Worker (**w**) = 7.5% x cash flow into city area for each of the labour type.

where (w) = r_j

Table 4: Labour Force Spending Pattern

Labour Type	Maximum No	Average Income ₦	State & Federal Taxes %	u - Annual Net Income ₦	v - % Spent on City	cash flow ₦	w - Average Annual ₦
Unskilled Labour	400	960,000	15	816000	90	734400	55080
Semi Skilled Labour	180	1,176,000	19	952560	85	809676	60725.7
Skilled Labour	100	1,344,000	19	1088640	70	762048	57153.6
Clerical Workers	150	900,000	15	765000	85	650250	48768.8
Technical Personnel	120	1,680,000	21	1327200	65	862680	64701
Managers	33	2,376,000	21	1877040	55	1032372	77427.9

From table 4, column a, b, c gives the summary of the calculations of sub-section 3.1

From equation (1)

3.2 Objective Function Developed

$$\sum_{i=1}^n [\sum_{j=1}^6 r_j L_{ij} + \sum_{k=1}^5 C_k U_{ik} + 0.075 P_i + 150000] x_i$$

Company 1: Electrical Machinery Company, Osogbo, Osun State
 $= 389990624.16 x_1$

Company 2: Cocoa Processing Company, Ede, Osun State
 $= 280526218.92 x_2$

Company 3: Steel Mills Limited, Osogbo, Osun State
 $= 519148739.36 x_3$

Company 4: Plastics Pipe Industry
 $= 623555478.25 x_4$

Company 5: Wire Industry Limited
 $= 401542656.72 x_5$

Therefore, the objective function can now be collated through the five companies as

$$\text{Max I} = 389990624.16 x_1 + 280526218.92 x_2 + 519148739.36 x_3 + 623555478.25 x_4 + 401542656.72 x_5$$

3.3. The Constraints development

3.3.1 Capital Investment: From equation (2)

$$92.90 \sum_{i=1}^5 b_i x_i \leq [Z]$$

b_i is average Building Construction per 92.90 sq. m. in Naira
 x_i is the name of each company in the Industrial Park
 $1000 (7800 x_1 + 8840 x_2 + 9880 x_3 + 11440 x_4 + 8320 x_5) \leq 539,996,000$
 $7800000 x_1 + 8840000 x_2 + 9880000 x_3 + 11440000 x_4 + 8320000 x_5 \leq 539,996,000$

3.3.2 Labour Force: From equation (3)

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 L_{ij} x_i \leq E_j; j = 1, \dots, 6$$

a. Unskilled Labour

$$0.75 x_1 + 9.0 x_2 + 13.0 x_3 + 4.30 x_4 + 14.7 x_5 \leq 400$$

b. Semi Skilled Labour

$$0.22 x_1 + 13.50 x_2 + 4.6 x_3 + 6.40 x_4 + 3.40 x_5 \leq 180$$

c. Skilled Labour

$$0.38 x_1 + 3.0 x_2 + 4.6 x_3 + 3.20 x_4 + 1.70 x_5 \leq 100$$

d. Clerical Worker

$$0.24 x_1 + 6.0 x_2 + 5.80 x_3 + 7.50 x_4 + 1.70 x_5 \leq 150$$

e. Technical Personnel

$$0.15 x_1 + 3.0 x_2 + 2.30 x_3 + 3.20 x_4 + 6.90 x_5 \leq 120$$

f. Managerial

$$0.05 x_1 + 1.50 x_2 + 1.50 x_3 + 0.70 x_4 + 1.10 x_5 \leq 33$$

3.3.3 Utility and Service Levels: From equation (4)

a. Electricity

$$4,1300.53 x_1 + 3,200.17 x_2 + 52,076.11 x_3 + 44,001.26 x_4 + 5,7009.33 x_5 \leq 12,500,000$$

b. Water

$$0.50 x_1 + 0.10 x_2 + 0.03 x_3 + 0.02 x_4 + 0.01 x_5 \leq 75$$

c. Gas

$$41,0000 x_1 + 7,000 x_2 + 9,8000 x_3 + 73,000 x_4 + 13,000 x_5 \leq 40,000,000$$

d. Sewerage

$$0.04 x_1 + 0.4 x_2 + 0.02 x_3 + 0.02 x_4 + 0.01 x_5 \leq 30$$

e. Waste Disposal

$$18 x_1 + 27 x_2 + 43 x_3 + 39 x_4 + 2.4 x_5 \leq 15,000$$

3.3.4 Available Acreage: From equation (5)

$$5.00 x_1 + 10.00 x_2 + 11.50 x_3 + 14.30 x_4 + 12.10 x_5 \leq 300.02$$

3.3.5 Plant Building Areas: From equation (6)

$$a_i \leq x_i \leq A_i; i = 1, \dots, 5$$

This means

Maximum Areas $x_i \leq A_i; i = 1, \dots, 5$

$$x_1 \leq 11.15$$

$$x_2 \leq 7.43$$

$$x_3 \leq 6.50$$

$$x_4 \leq 9.29$$

$$x_5 \leq 13.93$$

Minimum Areas $x_i \geq a_i; i = 1, \dots, 5$

$$x_1 \geq 3.72$$

$$x_2 \geq 1.85$$

$$x_3 \geq 2.41$$

$$x_4 \geq 2.60$$

$$x_5 \geq 3.25$$

3.4. Linear Programming model equations

The strategic decision implementation resulted to creation of an Optimization problem to form Linear Programming model equations which was solved using Simplex Method.

Objective Function

$$\text{Max I} = 389990624.16 x_1 + 280526218.92 x_2 + 519148739.36 x_3 + 623555478.25 x_4 + 401542656.72 x_5 \quad (7)$$

Subject to

$$7800000 x_1 + 8840000 x_2 + 9880000 x_3 + 11440000 x_4 + 8320000 x_5 \leq 539,996,000 \quad (8)$$

$$0.75 x_1 + 9.0 x_2 + 13.0 x_3 + 4.30 x_4 + 14.7 x_5 \leq 400 \quad (9)$$

$$0.22 x_1 + 13.50 x_2 + 4.6 x_3 + 6.40 x_4 + 3.40 x_5 \leq 180 \quad (10)$$

$$0.38 x_1 + 3.0 x_2 + 4.6 x_3 + 3.20 x_4 + 1.70 x_5 \leq 100 \quad (11)$$

$$0.24 x_1 + 6.0 x_2 + 5.80 x_3 + 7.50x_4 + 1.70 x_5 \leq 150 \quad (12)$$

$$0.15 x_1 + 3.0 x_2 + 2.30 x_3 + 3.20x_4 + 6.90 x_5 \leq 120 \quad (13)$$

$$0.05 x_1 + 1.50 x_2 + 1.50 x_3 + 0.70 x_4 + 1.10 x_5 \leq 33 \quad (14)$$

$$4,1300.53 x_1 + 3,200.17 x_2 + 52,076.11 x_3 + 44,001.26 x_4 + 5,7009.33 x_5 \leq 12,500,000 \quad (15)$$

$$0.50 x_1 + 0.10 x_2 + 0.03 x_3 + 0.02 x_4 + 0.01 x_5 \leq 75 \quad (16)$$

$$41,0000 x_1 + 7,000 x_2 + 9,8000 x_3 + 73,000 x_4 + 13,000 x_5 \leq 40,000,000 \quad (17)$$

$$0.04 x_1 + 0.4 x_2 + 0.02 x_3 + 0.02 x_4 + 0.01 x_5 \leq 30 \quad (18)$$

$$18 x_1 + 27 x_2 + 43x_3 + 39 x_4 + 2.4 x_5 \leq 15,000 \quad (19)$$

$$5.01 x_1 + 10.00 x_2 + 11.50 x_3 + 14.30 x_4 + 12.10 x_5 \leq 300.02 \quad (20)$$

Maximum Areas $x_i \leq A_i ; i = 1, \dots, 5$

$$x_1 \leq 11.15 \quad (21)$$

$$x_2 \leq 7.43 \quad (22)$$

$$x_3 \leq 6.50 \quad (23)$$

$$x_4 \leq 9.29 \quad (24)$$

$$x_5 \leq 13.93 \quad (25)$$

Minimum Areas $x_i \geq a_i ; i = 1, \dots, 5$

$$x_1 \geq 3.72 \quad (26)$$

$$x_2 \geq 1.85 \quad (27)$$

$$x_3 \geq 2.41 \quad (28)$$

$$x_4 \geq 2.60 \quad (29)$$

$$x_5 \geq 3.25 \quad (30)$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, \geq 0;$$

Therefore, the solutions from simplex method are:

Max Annual Income (Max I) = ₦ 14,417,340,953.8227

Therefore, decision variables, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 are to be in 1000 and also in respective company name 1-5

$$x_1 = 11.15 \times 1000 = 11,150 \text{ sq. m. (2.76 acres)}$$

$$x_2 = 1.85 \times 1000 = 1850 \text{ sq. m. (0.46 acres)}$$

$$x_3 = 6.5 \times 1000 = 6500 \text{ sq. m. (1.61 acres)}$$

$$x_4 = 7.81084 \times 1000 = 7810.84 \text{ sq. m. (1.93 acres)}$$

$$x_5 = 3.25 \times 1000 = 3250 \text{ sq. m. (0.80 acres)}$$

Substituting the variables gotten into the following equations (8) – (30), we have;

Optimum Plant Building Areas

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Initial Investment} &= 7800000 x_1 + 8840000 x_2 + 9880000 x_3 + \\ &11440000 x_4 + 8320000 x_5 \\ &= \text{₦}283,940,000 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Available Acreage} &= 5.00 x_1 + 10.00 x_2 + 11.50 (6.5) + 14.30 x_4 \\ &+ 12.10 x_5 \\ &= 300.02 \text{ acres (aprox)} \end{aligned}$$

Labour Utilization

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Unskilled Labour} &= 0.75 x_1 + 9.0 x_2 + 13.0 x_3 + 4.30 x_4 + 14.7 x_5 \\ &= 191 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Semi Skilled Labour} &= 0.22 x_1 + 13.50 x_2 + 4.6 x_3 + 6.40 x_4 + \\ &3.40 x_5 \\ &= 118 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Skilled Labour} &= 0.38 x_1 + 3.0 x_2 + 4.6 x_3 + 3.20 x_4 + 1.70 x_5 \\ &= 70 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Clerical Worker} &= 0.24 x_1 + 6.0 x_2 + 5.80 x_3 + 7.50x_4 + 1.70 x_5 \\ &= 116 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Technical Personnel} &= 0.15 x_1 + 3.0 x_2 + 2.30 x_3 + 3.20x_4 + \\ &6.90 x_5 \\ &= 70 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Managerial} &= 0.05 x_1 + 1.50 x_2 + 1.50 x_3 + 0.70 x_4 + 1.10 x_5 \\ &= 22 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Managerial} &= 0.05 x_1 + 1.50 x_2 + 1.50 x_3 + 0.70 x_4 + 1.10 x_5 \\ &= 22 \end{aligned}$$

Utility and Service Levels

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Electricity} &= 4,1300.53 x_1 + 3,200.17 x_2 + 52,076.11 x_3 + \\ &44,001.26 x_4 + 5,7009.33 x_5 \\ &= 1387164.88 \text{ kW.hr/yr} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Water} &= 0.50 x_1 + 0.10 x_2 + 0.03 x_3 + 0.02 x_4 + 0.01 x_5 \\ &= 6.14 \text{ million cu. m/yr} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gas} &= 41,0000 x_1 + 7,000 x_2 + 9,8000 x_3 + 73,000 x_4 + 13,000 x_5 \\ &= 5833891.26 \text{ cu. m/yr} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sewerage} &= 0.04 (11.15) + 0.4 x_2 + 0.02 x_3 + 0.02 x_4 + 0.01 x_5 \\ &= 0.84 \text{ million cu.m/yr} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Waste Disposal} &= 18 x_1 + 27 x_2 + 43x_3 + 39 x_4 + 2.4 x_5 \\ &= 842.57 \text{ Mg/yr} \end{aligned}$$

Total land space used is the total sum of the optimized areas for each company.

$$11150 + 1850 + 6500 + 7810.84 + 3250 = 305630 \text{ sq. m.}$$

To convert sq. m. to acres;

$$1 \text{ sq. m.} = 0.0002471$$

Therefore, 30560 sq. m. is equivalent to 7.55 acres

To get

Unused land space

$$300.02 - 7.55 = 292.47 \text{ acres}$$

3.5 Discussion of the Results

The solution values are feasible since the maximum annual income showed that Optimal Income was realized. From the results, Optimum Plant Building Area results will guide Investor the particular Company to determine the plant building areas to be leased to each company which would yield the maximum revenue per year. It has shown clearly that the capacity of electricity, water, sewerage and waste disposal, are accommodating due to optimized results on the following utilities and service levels. The investment cost was ₦600,000,000:00 and the optimized value for investment is ₦283,940,000:00. Hence,

the savings is ₹316,060,000:00. The percentage savings is 52.67%.

4.0 CONCLUSION

The Objective Function formulated with all the constraints were solved through Linear Programming and the results were feasible within the constraints limit. The Maximum Income generated from the proposed five (5) industries was encouraging to woo an Investor. To maintain and guide any loss in terms of tax generation and land leasing, the site location of the Industrial Park should be centralized within the metropolis of the city, in order not to loose money spent on city or being diverted to any closed by cities.

REFERENCES

- [1] Zeng, D. Z., "PEDL Synthesis Series, Special Economic Zones: Lessons from the Global Experience," pp. 1-28, 2016.
- [2] FIAS, "Special economic zones performance, lessons learned, and implications for zone development, Washington, DC": The World Bank Group, 2008
- [3] Zeng, D. Z., "Global Experiences with Special Economic Zones: Focus on China and Africa, NA": World Bank Group, 2015
- [4] Susur, E., Hidalgo, A., and Chiaroni, D., "A strategic niche management perspective on transitions to eco-industrial park development: A systematic review of case studies." *Resources Conservation Recycling*, 140, 338-359, 2019.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2018.06.002>
- [5] Hyeong, W. K., Liang, D., Angelo, E. S. C., Minoru, F., Tsuyoshi, F., and Hung, S. P., "Co-benefit potential of industrial and urban symbiosis using waste heat from industrial park in Ulsan, Korea." *Resources Conservation Recycling*, 135, 225-234, 2018.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.027>
- [6] Liang, D., Hanwei, L., Liguoz, Z., Zhaowen L., Zhiqiu, G., and Mingming, H., "Highlighting regional eco-industrial development: Life cycle benefits of an urban industrial symbiosis and implications in China". *Ecological Modelling*, 361, 164-176, 2017.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.07.032>
- [7] Milan, D., Rene, W., and Nikola, M. K., "The effectiveness of industrial zones support in the Czech Republic. *Journal of Ekonomika and Management*, 16(4), 104-117, 2013.
- [8] Leonid, M., Oleksandr, K., and Sergiy, P. "The impact of foreign direct investment on economic growth: Case of post communism transition economies." *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 12(1), 17-24, 2014
- [9] Nguyen, T. D., Nguyen, A. T., and Do, P. T. T., "The Role of Investment Attraction in Vietnamese Industrial Parks and Economic Zones in the Process of International Economic Integration". *Journal of Asian finance, Economics and Business*, 4(3), 27-34, 2017
[doi:10.13106/jafeb.2017.vol4.no3.27](https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2017.vol4.no3.27)
- [10] Mešter L.E, and Bugnar N.G, "The Role of Industrial Parks in Economic Development" MH SR. Manuál pre poskytovanie podpory na zriaďovanie priemyselných parkov. Retrieved from <http://www.economy.gov.sk>, 2017. (Accessed 20/04/2020)
- [11] Merriam-Webster, "The definition for "role". <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/role>, 2020 (Accessed 29 November 2020).
- [12] Gibbs, D., Deutz, P., "Reflections on implementing industrial ecology through eco-industrial park development." *Journal for Cleaner Production*. 15 (17), 2007, 1683e1695.